## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION AT DAYTON

EARL.	RICHARDSON	I
	MCIM MDDOM	٠.

Plaintiff, : Case No. 3:09-cv-455

District Judge Thomas M. Rose Magistrate Judge Michael R. Merz

· vs -

INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION OF OHIO, et al.,

Defendants.

## REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This case is before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for an Order to Release Compensation and Benefits (Doc. No. 33).

For the reasons already given at length in the companion case, *Richardson v. Dayton Public Schools*, Case No. 3:10-cv-028, at Doc. Nos. 50 and 52, the Motion should be denied. September 2, 2013.

s/ *Michael R. Merz*United States Magistrate Judge

## NOTICE REGARDING OBJECTIONS

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), any party may serve and file specific, written objections to the proposed findings and recommendations within fourteen days after being served with this Report

and Recommendations. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(d), this period is extended to seventeen days because this Report is being served by one of the methods of service listed in Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b)(2)(C), (D), (E), or (F). Such objections shall specify the portions of the Report objected to and shall be accompanied by a memorandum of law in support of the objections. If the Report and Recommendations are based in whole or in part upon matters occurring of record at an oral hearing, the objecting party shall promptly arrange for the transcription of the record, or such portions of it as all parties may agree upon or the Magistrate Judge deems sufficient, unless the assigned District Judge otherwise directs. A party may respond to another party's objections within fourteen days after being served with a copy thereof. Failure to make objections in accordance with this procedure may forfeit rights on appeal. See United States v. Walters, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981); Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985).